IN THE HIGH COURT OF SOUTH AFRICA WESTERN CAPE DIVISION, CAPE TOWN

Case No: 12420/13

Don two.

Before the Honourable Ms Justice Baartman and the Honourable Ms Justice Steyn

At Cape Town on 14 November 2016

In the matter between:

THE RECOGNITION OF LIFE LONG LEARNING

IN PSYCHOLOGY ACTION GROUP

WESTERN CAPE HIGH COURT CIVIL APPEALS

First Applicant

JUSTICE ALLIANCE OF SOUTH AFRICA

2016 -11- 2 5

CAPE TOWN/KAAPSTAD

WES-KAAP HOE HOF

Second Applicant

and

PROFESSOR THOLENE SODI N.O.

First Respondent

PROFESSIONAL BOARD FOR PSYCHOLOGY

Second Respondent

HEALTH PROFESSIONS COUNCIL OF SOUTH AFRICA

Third Respondent

MINISTER OF HEALTH

Fourth Respondent

BOARD OF HEALTHCARE FUNDERS OF SOUTHERN AFRICA

Fifth Respondent

and

RURAL HEALTH ADVOCACY PROJECT

First amicus curiae

CLINICAL PSYCHOLOGY FORUM

Second amicus curiae

EDUCATIONAL PSYCHOLOGY ASSOCIATION

OF SOUTH AFRICA

Third amicus curiae

WESTERN CAPE HIGH COUR	<u>1</u>	
2016 -11- 2.5	DRAFT ORDER	^
 CAPE TOWN/KAAPSTAD		
WES-KAAP HOE HOF		

By agreement between the applicants and the first to fourth respondents, it is ordered that:

- 1. Part A of the application, including the question of costs in relation to Part A, is postponed sine die.
- 2. The application for condonation is granted in Part B.
- 3. The Regulations Defining the Scope of the Profession of Psychology (published GNR 704 in GG 34581 of 2 September 2011) ("the Regulations") are declared invalid.
- 4. The fourth respondent's decision to promulgate the Regulations is remitted for reconsideration.
- 5. The order of invalidity, referred to in paragraph 3 above, is suspended for a period of 24 months.
- 6. During the period of suspension, the first to third respondents shall consider, in each case of pending disciplinary proceedings against a practitioner for acting outside the scope of his or her registered scope of practice, postponing disciplinary proceedings pending the promulgation of new regulations by the fourth respondent.
- 7. The parties record that their agreement in relation to paragraph 6 above is without prejudice to their respective contentions in relation to Part A.

- 8. Each party shall pay its own costs occasioned by the postponement ordered on 22 August 2016.
- 9. The third and fourth respondents shall pay the applicants' costs in relation to Part B of the application, including the costs of the striking out application, jointly and severally, the one paying the other to be absolved.
- 10. The third respondent shall pay the applicants' costs in relation to the applicants' application in terms of uniform rule 6(5)(e) and to strike out, dated 25 October 2016, up to 1 November 2016, being the date of delivery of the confirmatory affidavit of Prof B Pillay, dated 26 September 2016.
- 11. All costs orders shall include the costs of two counsel.
- 12. A copy of this order shall be served by the applicants' attorneys on the fifth respondent and on the Council for Medical Schemes.

17 A Batchelor & Assoc. CAPE TOWN

BY ORDER OF THE COURT

WESTERN CAPE HIGH COURT

CIVIL APPEALS

2016 -11- 2.5

CAPE TOWN/KAAPSTAD

WES-KAAP HOË HOF

/avz

COURT REGISTRAR